

Satisfy Your CLE and CPD Requirements!

Patent Litigation 2015

Introducing New Topics!

- Recent Supreme Court and Federal Circuit decisions and proposed legislation
- District Court Judges share their views on managing litigation and tips for efficient case disposition
- Strategies for multi-party litigation, joint defense groups, indemnification, and indirect and divided infringement claims
- A session directed to advanced strategy and tactics in the complex world of patent litigation, parallel PTO proceedings and business considerations
- **ENHANCED!** Trends in patent remedies: damages, injunctions and ongoing royalties where an injunction is not granted

Chicago, October 8-9, 2015 New York City, November 16-17, 2015 Cleveland, Columbus, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Mechanicsburg and New Brunswick Groupcast Locations, November 16-17, 2015 Live Webcast, November 16-17, 2015

Patent Litigation 2015

Why You Should Attend

Rapid changes in patent law make it necessary that, whether you are the plaintiff's or defendant's counsel, you are up-to-date on the current state of the law and can quickly develop successful litigation strategies and tactics. This program is taught by a faculty of both outside and in-house lawyers who have earned national reputations in patent litigation by trying and managing a wide variety of bench and jury patent trials, as well as U.S. Patent Office post-grant trial proceedings, and provides comprehensive coverage of every phase of these patent matters. This year's program also features views from U.S. District Court Judges, who will provide their insights on the state of patent litigation, management of patent litigation, as well as practical tips for litigants. Through this comprehensive program, you will be able to hone your patent litigation skills in just two days.

What You Will Learn

Introducing New Topics!

- Recent changes in patent law, including an overview of key Supreme Court, Federal Circuit, and District Court decisions, and a discussion of recently passed and proposed legislation
- Views from the Bench: District Court Judges share their views on patent litigation, including their views on managing patent litigation, settlement and trials, as well as practical tips for the more efficient handling and disposition of patent cases
- Strategy, tactics and other considerations in reexamination, post-grant review and other PTO proceedings
- Considerations in multi-party litigation, including efficient strategies for working in joint defense groups and handling related indemnification matters and effective strategies for defending against or pursuing indirect and divided infringement claims
- A session directed to advanced strategy and tactics in the complex world of patent litigation, parallel PTO
 proceedings and business considerations, including hypothetical but realistic examples of complex situations
- Dealing with non-practicing entities and the special considerations involved in negotiating with, litigating against and mediation with NPEs
- ENHANCED! Current trends in patent remedies, including damages, injunctions and ongoing royalties where
 no injunction is granted

Special Feature

• Earn one hour of Ethics credit!

Who Should Attend

Patent litigators and lawyers in patent firms who advise clients in disputes that may lead to litigation, examination or post-grant proceedings at the Patent Office; general business litigators whose practice includes patent trials; patent prosecutors whose actions in preparing and prosecuting patents are critical in providing support for the proper claim construction and in assessing infringement and invalidity issues; and corporate counsel who will have the responsibility for supervising patent litigation, interpreting its progress for management, and pursuing opportunities for settlement, as well as those who are responsible for a company's overall patent strategy.

FACULTY

Chicago

Chair



Jeanne M. Gills Folev & Lardner LLP Chicago

Meredith Martin Addy Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP Chicago

Melissa A. Anvetei Mayer Brown LLP Chicago

Steven F. Borsand **EVP Intellectual Property** Trading Technologies International, Inc. Chicago

David K. Callahan Latham & Watkins LLP Chicago

Hon. Rubén Castillo Chief Judge United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois Chicago

Tiffany P. Cunningham Perkins Coie LLP Chicago

Hon, John W. Darrah

District Judge United States District Court. Northern District of Illinois Chicago

Thomas L. Duston Marshall Gerstein and Borun LLP Chicago

David J.F. Gross Faegre Baker Daniels LLP East Palo Alto, California

Clinton H. Hallman, Jr. Chief IP Counsel LBP Manufacturing, Inc. Cicero, Illinois

Brent A. Hawkins McDermott Will & Emery LLP Chicago

Reginald J. Hill Jenner & Block LLP Chicago

Darrick J. Hooker Krieg DeVault Chicago

Michael R Houston

Foley & Lardner LLP Chicago

Sharon A. Hwang McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. Chicago

Neil C. Jones Nelson Mullins Rilev & Scarborough LLP Greenville, South Carolina

Flizaheth Koehn Global IP Law Group, LLC Chicago

Hon. John D. Love Magistrate Judge United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas Tyler Texas

John A. Marlott Jones Day Chicago

Laura Beth Miller Brinks Gilson & Lione Chicago

Hon. Rebecca R. Pallmeyer District Judge United States District Court.

Northern District of Illinois

Chicago

Hon. Roy Payne Magistrate Judge United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas Marshall Texas

Ferlillia V. Roberson Kirkland & Ellis LLP Chicago

Hon. James Donald Smith Chief Administrative Patent Judge United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, Virginia

Paul R. Steadman DLA Piper LLP (US) Chicago

Michelle M. Umberger Perkins Coie LLP Madison, Wisconsin

New York City, Groupcast Locations and Live Webcast

Chair



Christopher K. Hu Blank Rome LLP New York City

George E. Badenoch Kenyon & Kenyon LLP New York City

Elaine Herrmann Blais Goodwin Procter LLP **Boston**

Carolyn H. Blankenship Senior Vice President.

Associate General Counsel. Intellectual Property Thomson Reuters New York City

Richard W. Erwine

Quinn Emanual Urguhart & Sullivan, LLP New York City

Heather A. Faltin Senior Patent Counsel Comcast Cable Communications, LLC

Philadelphia

Robert Gilman

Haves Messina Gilman & Hayes LLC Boston

Philip Green Hoffman Alvary & Company LLC Newton, Massachusetts

Paul R. Gupta Reed Smith LLP New York City

Gerard A. Haddad Dickstein Shapiro LLP New York City

Constance S. Huttner Budd Larner P.C. Short Hills, New Jersey

Gene W. Lee Ropes & Gray LLP New York City

Thomas F. Maffei Sherin and Lodgen, LLP Roston

Richard F. Martinelli Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

New York City

New York City

Hon. Colleen McMahon District Judge United States District Court. Southern District of New York

Nicholas K. Mitrokostas Goodwin Procter LLP **Boston**

Hon. Mary Pat Thynge Chief Magistrate Judge United States District Court, District of Delaware Wilmington, Delaware

James Wodarski

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

Charles R. Wolfe, Jr. Blank Rome LLP Washington, D.C.

J. Rav Wood Chief Patent Counsel ZTE USA Richardson, Texas

Hon. William G. Young District Judge United States District Court, District of Massachusetts

Jeffrey T. Zachmann Counsel - Corporate Litigation

IBM Corporation Armonk. New York

PROGRAM SCHEDULE

Day One: 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m.

Morning Session: 9:00 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.

9.00

Program Overview

CHI: Jeanne M. Gills NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Christopher K. Hu

9:15

Recent Developments in Patent Law and Their Effects on Patent Litigation

This session is a broad review of the important decisions of the Supreme Court, Federal Circuit and District Courts over the past year, as well as of still-emerging and developing effects of the America Invents Act (AIA) and proposed legislation to address concerns about the uses and misuses of patent litigation as an economic weapon. Key trends and developing patent law doctrines will also be covered.

CHI: Reginald J. Hill NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Gerard A. Haddad

10.15

Recent Supreme Court Decisions in Patent Cases – How Helpful Have They Been?

In recent years, the United States Supreme Court has taken an increasing interest in patent cases, often disagreeing with the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals. In just the past year, the Supreme Court decided *Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Sandog, Inc.,* in which it held that a district court's resolution of subsidiary factional issues in the course of claim construction was subject to "clear error" and not *de novo review; Octane Fitness v. Icon Health & Fitness,* in which it lowered both the burden of proof and required proof of an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and *Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank,* in which it addressed the standard for determining whether a business method was patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. This session will focus on the effect of recent Supreme Court decisions, how and if they differ from existing Federal Circuit jurisprudence and whether they clarified the law.

CHI: Brent A. Hawkins, Sharon A. Hwang NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Elaine Herrmann Blais, Nicholas K. Mitrokostas

11:15 Networking Break

11:30

The Patentability of Business Methods: The Search for a Clear Rule Goes On (and On)

The U.S. Supreme Court in *Bilski* in 2010 was expected to clarify the law with respect to the patentability of business methods. However, the Supreme Court's "flexible" test of patentability, which includes, but is not limited to, the pre-existing "machine or transformation test," does not provide a clear resolution of the issue. Subsequent Federal Circuit decisions, including *CyberSource, Ultramercial* and *Dealertrack,* make clear that the courts are still searching for the boundary between patentable and unpatentable subject matter. The 2014 Supreme Court decision in *Alice Corp.* is yet another effort to try to brighten the line between patentable and unpatentable. This session will explore recent developments in the law governing the patentability of business methods.

CHI: Steven F. Borsand, Tiffany P. Cunningham, Michelle M. Umberger NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Carolyn H. Blankenship

12:30 Lunch Break

Afternoon Session: 1:45 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

1:45

Parallel Patent Office Proceedings

The pursuit of proceedings in the U.S. Patent Office continues to be a strategy for parties against whom a patent of questionable validity has been asserted. A Patent Office proceeding might be initiated in anticipation of litigation or filed soon after litigation has begun. A parallel Patent Office proceeding is often a basis for seeking a stay of the more costly district court proceeding and/or used to bring the patentee to the bargaining table. Recent statistics reflect the continued popularity of filing of *inter partes* review (IPR) and covered business method review (CBM) proceedings following AIA, as well as a high rate of success. This session will also address the effects of any such post-grant proceedings on litigation, including stays, as well as the potential effects on collateral estoppel, claim construction positions, willfulness charges, inequitable conduct claims, and on damages and intervening rights (e.g., if claims are amended).

CHI: Michael R. Houston, Hon. James Donald Smith NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Paul R. Gupta

Strategy and Tactics in Patent Litigation – The Factors That Need to Be Considered That You Can't Find in the Statutes, Cases or Rules

Patent litigation is an expensive, complex, disruptive and potentially economically fatal undertaking. Even before a patent litigation begins, both potential plaintiffs and defendants must make numerous judgment calls. For plaintiffs, these decisions include the extent of pre-suit (Rule 11) investigations, which defendant or defendants to sue, whether to negotiate with the other side, choice of forum, whether to seek a preliminary injunction, whether to initiate a parallel ITC proceeding, how aggressively to pursue the case, and when to file dispositive motions. For defendants, issues include whether to negotiate, initiate a proceeding in the U.S. Patent Office, or file a declaratory judgment action, and when to file dispositive motions. Common issues include the number and type of depositions to take (individual, Rule 30(b)(6) corporate designees and non-party), the timing of claim construction and the timing of dispositive motions. This session will feature a panel of experienced litigators who will discuss their views on the many judgment calls that must be made where there are no answers in the books.

CHI: David J.F. Gross

NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Richard W. Erwine, Heather A. Faltin, Charles R. Wolfe, Jr., J. Ray Wood

3:45 Networking Break

1.00

Joint Defense and Indemnification – Getting Along with Others

Parallel patent infringement actions are often brought at the same time against multiple defendants. Joint defense agreements are often entered into for efficiency and consistency in defending the actions. However, there are negatives as well as positives and numerous possible pitfalls in entering into joint defense arrangements. This session will discuss the legal and practical considerations of working efficiently with co-defendants and their counsel, including negotiating joint defense agreements; managing costs and responsibilities among numerous parties where positions may conflict; strategies for when to take the lead and when to ride the wave; and managing related issues of indemnification.

CHI: Clinton H. Hallman, Jr., Ferlillia V. Roberson, Paul R. Steadman

NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Jeffrey T. Zachmann

5:00 Adjourn

Day Two: 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m.

Morning Session: 9:00 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

9.00

Infringement Based on Acts of Multiple Parties

In a world that is increasingly connected by networks with services provided by multiple entities, and in which complex products are made up of components from numerous sources, issues of infringement based on the acts of multiple parties arise far more frequently. This session will cover the constantly evolving law following the Supreme Court's ruling in Limelight v. Akamai relating to infringement where not all steps are performed or all components supplied by one entity. The relationship between the entities necessary for a finding of infringement will also be covered, including the effect of the recent Federal Circuit decisions. This session will also discuss recent cases on the standards for determining other forms of multi-party infringement, including inducement of infringement and contributory infringement and the impact of the Supreme Court's ruling in Commil v. Cisco.

CHI: John A. Marlott, Laura Beth Miller NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Gene W. Lee 10:00

Remedies (Including Injunctions)

Recent Federal Circuit and District Court decisions reflect a trend towards damages awards based less on application of rules than on evidence of actual harm sustained. This session will review recent cases on all forms of remedies in the aftermath of recent Supreme Court Federal Circuit decisions. The current state of the patent exhaustion doctrine will also be covered in view of HPL v. New York Times. Other cases on lost profits, reasonable royalty, attorneys' fees and other monetary damages and relief will be analyzed. The session will also discuss the practical ability to obtain injunctive relief nine years post-eBay and recent cases like Apple v. Samsung.

CHI: Neil C. Jones NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Richard F. Martinelli

11:00 Networking Break

11:15

NPEs – The Special Issues Arising from Disputes with NPEs

This panel will provide perspectives on litigating on behalf of, or against, non-practicing entities (NPEs). Plaintiff's counsel will offer perspectives on representing litigants with patent rights that may or may not have deep pockets, as well as effective strategies when the opponent is the larger, more entrenched entity, including ways to manage multi-party litigation and discovery. Defense counsel will share tips on evolving legal and practical strategies to mitigate the impact of abusive tactics by some NPEs, including early resolution/disposition techniques, smart motion practice and effective use of joint defense groups.

CHI: Thomas L. Duston, Elizabeth Koehn NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Robert Gilman, Philip Green, James Wodarski

12:15 Lunch Break

Afternoon Session: 1:45 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

1:45

Patent Litigation – Views from the Bench, Part 1

Patent litigation has long been recognized as a form of federal civil litigation that is typically more complex and expensive than the ordinary case. Because of this, much has been written about patent litigation, some of it complimentary and some not so flattering. On one hand, patent cases have been described as among the best-litigated of all federal cases. On the other hand, patent cases have been characterized as over-litigated, excessively contentious, tedious affairs during which far too many issues are raised at both the trial and appellate levels. District Court Judges present their views of patent litigation, including what's good, what's bad and what's unnecessary. The Judges will discuss such topics as Local Patent Rules and Practice, managing multi-party litigation including scheduling issues, use of experts in patent cases, mediation and settlement of patent cases, and general practice tips for patent counsel.

CHI: David K. Callahan, Hon. Rubén Castillo, Hon. John D. Love, Hon. Rebecca R. Pallmeyer NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: George E. Badenoch, Hon. Mary Pat Thynge, Hon. William G. Young

2.4

Patent Litigation - Views from the Bench, Part 2

The Judges will continue to provide their views regarding patent litigation in district courts, the impact of parallel PTAB proceedings, how pre-trial motion practice can promote or prolong case disposition, the increasing costs of discovery practice, and the timing and best tips for success with mediation and court-ordered (or encouraged) settlement conferences.

CHI: Meredith Martin Addy, Hon. John W. Darrah, Hon. Roy Payne

NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Constance S. Huttner, Hon. Colleen McMahon, Hon. Mary Pat Thynge, Hon. William G. Young

3:45 Networking Break

4:00

Ethics Issues in Patent Litigation

In-house and outside counsel face ethical issues in patent litigation at every step of the process, from pre-suit Rule 11 compliance, throughout the discovery process, and continuing through to trial and appeal. The sometimes fuzzy boundary between ethical transgression and zealous advocacy will be explained, including whether such actions impact a party's ability to convince a court that the court should find a case exceptional under § 285, warranting an attorneys' fees award. Ethical issues relating to conflicts will also be covered. USPTO, ethical rules that apply to practitioners licensed by the USPTO even when practicing in another forum, will likewise be addressed.

CHI: Melissa A. Anyetei, Darrick J. Hooker NYC, CLE, COL, PHIL, PITT, MECH, NB & WEB: Thomas F. Maffei

5:00 Adjourn

PLI's Nationally Acclaimed Course Handbooks – Now Available Online

Our Course Handbooks represent the definitive thinking of the nation's finest legal minds, and are considered the standard reference in the field. The Handbook is prepared specifically for this program and stands alone as a permanent reference. The Course Handbook will be available online several days prior to the program!

Log in to www.pli.edu, go to My Online Library and click on the Course Materials tab. You can review the material or prepare questions to raise at the program. You will have access to the Course Handbook for one year from the program date. All attendees at the live program and Groupcast locations will also receive a copy.

Register for our live Webcast – attend from your location!

The **New York City session** of this program is available as a live Webcast, streamed simultaneously on your PC or tablet.

Reserve your front-row seat to watch PLI's acclaimed faculty as they discuss the hottest legal trends, developments, case law and regulations. . . . View all supporting material. . . . And earn CLE credit. It's all part of PLI's commitment to deliver an outstanding learning experience!

Register today at www.pli.edu/FHB5 to reserve your place. Then log in at www.pli.edu to print the Course Handbook and submit questions electronically to the faculty.

PLI's Customer Service Department is also available to assist with any questions. Please contact us at (800) 260-4PLI.



Individual Privileged Membership — unlimited access to PLI's live seminars and online programming for one flat annual fee!

Privileged Membership is the simple answer to your ongoing training and CLE/CPE needs: for just \$3,495, you can have unlimited, 24/7 access to over 400 live seminars and Webcasts presented by a prestigious faculty of more than 4,000 legal and business thought leaders.

Join the thousands of practitioners that have chosen PLI as their training partner — become a Privileged Member today!

For more information on Individual Privileged Membership, please call (800) 260-4754 or email membership@pli.edu.



Pro Bono Efforts & Scholarships

As a nonprofit organization, PLI is deeply committed to the public service work of the legal profession.

We invest heavily in pro bono activities, including: full and partial scholarships to our institutes and programs
assisting public interest organizations with their legal training needsfree lectures for law students on our website, andfree programs in practice areas critical to the representation of low-income clients.

In 2014, PLI awarded over 59,000 scholarships in all programs to judicial law clerks, law professors, law students, attorneys 65 or older, law librarians, judges, attorneys who work for nonprofit organizations, and attorneys in transition.

PLI also partners with Privileged Member firms and organizations to offer free CLE training to their pro bono clients. The selected nonprofit organizations, legal aid organizations and other pro bono groups have unlimited access to PLI live seminars and On-Demand Learning content.

 $For more information on our probono\ initiatives, or to\ apply for\ a\ scholarship, please\ visit\ www.pli.edu/probono\ our\ probono\ our\ p$

PLI's Unconditional Guarantee

It's simple: if you are not completely satisfied with the return on your investment from any PLI program, we will refund your money in full.

PRACTISING LAW INSTITUTE DISCOVER PLUS

Gain all-inclusive access to PLI's eBook library

24/7 access to over 50,000 documents of legal research in 22 practice areas

- Treatises
- Legal Forms
- Course Handbooks
- Program Transcripts
- Answer Books



For more information, contact PLI Library Relations

- p. (877) 900-5291
- e. discoverplus@pli.edu
- w. www.pli.edu/Discover

Save the Date — Upcoming 2015 Seminars!

Developments in Pharmaceutical and Biotech Patent Law 2015, September 18, 2015 – San Francisco & Live Webcast; October 2, 2015 – New York City

Intellectual Property Law Institute 2015, September 28-29, 2015 – New York City; October 19-20, 2015 – San Francisco & Live Webcast

For a complete list of PLI offerings, visit www.pli.edu

On-Demand.

The easiest way to complete your MCLE requirement.

Get fresh, current online CLE content, with over 2,500 hours of web programs, MP3s and MP4s, available whenever and wherever you need it — on laptops, tablets, and the iPad, iPhone and iPod Touch.

Virtually all of PLI's programs are recorded and archived within two weeks of delivery. You can view entire programs or individual web segments 24/7 via streaming video and/or audio.

Each On-Demand program features the video and/or audio of the program, along with downloadable Course Materials and an application for CLE credit.

REGISTRATION/HOTEL INFORMATION AVAILABLE AT WWW.PLI.EDU

FOUR EASY WAYS TO REGISTER

WEB:

www.pli.edu/FHB5

PHONE: (800) 260-4PLI

Monday - Friday, 9 a.m. - 6 p.m., Eastern Time FAX: (800) 321-0093

Open 24 Hours!

MAIL:

Practising Law Institute

1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Fax or mail completed Registration/Order Form on back cover

Location/Hotel Information: Visit us on the Web at www.pli.edu or call us at (800) 260-4PLI for information about seminar locations and hotel accommodations for this program.

Payment Policy: Registration fees are due in advance. Attendees may pay by check, Visa, MasterCard, American Express or Diners Club.

Cancellations: All cancellations received 3 business days prior to the program will be refunded 100%. If you do not cancel within the allotted time period, payment is due in full. You may substitute another individual to attend the program.

CLE/CPD Credit: PLI programs offer CLE credit in all U.S. jurisdictions that have mandatory CLE requirements and some foreign jurisdictions with CPD requirements. Please check www.pli.edu/credit for credit details, eligibility and approval

status for your particular jurisdiction(s). **New York:** In accordance with the requirements of the Continuing Legal Education Board, this transitional continuing legal education course has been approved for a maximum of 14 credit hours, of which 13 credit hours can be applied toward the Professional Practice requirement and 1 credit hour can be applied toward the Ethics requirement. **California:** This activity is approved for MCLE credit in the amount of 12.25 hours, of which 1 hour will apply to legal ethics.

Special Needs: If you have special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act, please notify Customer Service at least two weeks prior to your program.

Email: info@pli.edu

Visit Us On The Web: www.pli.edu/FHB5

REGISTRATION/ORDER FORM

When Registering, Please Refer to Priority Code: FHB5

Patent Litigation 2015 Make necessary corrections on mailing address.

session:	
lowing	
0	
for the 1	
ше	
register	
Please	
4	

Please register me tor tne tollowing session:	
 □ 59490 Chicago Seminar,* October 8-9, 2015, University of Chicago Gleacher Center, \$1,795² □ 59491 New York City Seminar,* November 16-17, 2015, PLI New York Center, \$1,795² □ 137505 Cleveland Groupcast Location,* November 16-17, 2015, Cleveland Metropolitan Bar Association, \$1,795² 	
☐ 135782 Columbus Groupcast Location,* November 16-17, 2015, Columbus Bar Association, \$1,795² ☐ 135537 Philadelphia Groupcast Location,* November 16-17, 2015, Pennsylvania Bar Institute, \$1,795² ☐ 135540 Pittsburgh Groupcast Location,* November 16-17, 2015, PBI Professional Development ☐ Onitarpure Center \$1,705?	
 135541 Mechanicsburg Groupcast Location,* November 16-17, 2015, Pennsylvania Bar Institute, \$1,795² 133906 New Brunswick Groupcast Location,* November 16-17, 2015, New Jersey Institute for Continuing Legal Education, \$1,795² Inve Webcast * November 16-17, 2015, #59403, \$1,795² 	
²Privileged Member Fee: \$0 □ 59492 Course Handbook only, \$220	Name
Register Today! Call (800) 260-4PLI • www.pli.edu/FHB5	litle Firm
☐ My Email address is:	Address
Course Handbook, in bound volume format for program attendees, and in digital format for Webcast participants. All attendees will have access to a downloadable version of the Handbook several days prior to the program.	City/State/Zip
RRES Shipping and Handling in the United States, U.S. Possessions and Canada on all prepaid Publication purchases. CA, FL, IL, MA, MD, NJ, NY, OH, PA, RI, TX, VA and DC residents please add applicable sales tax to the price of Publications.	Phone
Please send me: □ Publications Catalog □ Institutes and Programs Catalog □ Information on PLI Membership	
The information below is required to properly process your CLE certificate: Bar ID# Bar ID# Bar ID#	

(Use Street Address for UPS Delivery)

Email

Fax

PRIORITY CODE: FHB5 8A500

Practising Law Institute

1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

ORGANIZATION
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
PRACTISING LAW
INSTITUTE NON-PROFIT